

Editorial Comments

The role of genetic susceptibility in diabetic nephropathy: evidence from family studies

Stephen Fava^{1,2} and Andrew T. Hattersley²

¹Diabetes Clinic, St Luke's Hospital, Guardamangia, Malta and ²Centre of Molecular Genetics, Institute of Clinical Science, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

Introduction

Diabetic nephropathy is one of the long-term complications of both type 1 and type 2 diabetes. It is a leading cause of end-renal disease in many countries [1]. Furthermore, it is associated with increased cardiovascular mortality, with most of the excess mortality associated with diabetes being seen in those with nephropathy [2,3].

It is impossible to predict which diabetic patients will develop renal disease. Although duration of diabetes, tightness of glycaemic control, and blood pressure are undoubtedly implicated [4,5], these factors are insufficient on their own to predict which patients will develop the complication. Therefore, a patient with poor blood pressure and glycaemic control might not develop diabetic renal disease even many years after diagnosis of diabetes. Clearly, other factors must also be involved.

The prevalence of nephropathy associated with type 1 diabetes rises with increased duration but levels out at around 15 years, when a cumulative prevalence of around 50% is reached [6]; this is less easily demonstrable with regard to type 2 diabetes, where the time of onset of the disease is less clearly defined. It would appear that only a subset of diabetic patients are at risk of developing renal disease. This is in contrast to retinopathy, whose prevalence continues to rise with increased duration of diabetes so that most patients will develop the complication if they live long enough [6]. A genetic predisposition is the probable explanation. This article reviews the role of genetic susceptibility in diabetic nephropathy, concentrating on the accumulated data on inherited factors from family studies rather than on the molecular genetic studies, which have been extensively reviewed elsewhere.

Familial aggregation of diabetic nephropathy

There is a large body of evidence of familial aggregation of renal disease in type 1 diabetes (e.g. [7,8]). Data

from the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial (DCCT) has also confirmed familial aggregation [9].

There is now also a growing body of evidence implicating familial aggregation of diabetic nephropathy in type 2 diabetes. Pettitt *et al.* [10] have demonstrated that in Pima Indians, proteinuria occurred in 14.3% of diabetic offspring of diabetic parents if neither parent had proteinuria, compared to 22.9 and 45.9% if one or both parents respectively had proteinuria. Such a longitudinal study would be difficult to replicate in other populations, where the onset of diabetes is at a much later age than in the Pima Indians. However, we have demonstrated that in Malta there is a higher prevalence of proteinuria in diabetic siblings of patients with diabetic nephropathy than in matched controls [11]. There is now also evidence of familial aggregation of type 2 diabetes-related renal disease in Italian, Brazilian, and Indian populations [12–14].

Co-aggregation with other manifestations of the insulin-resistance syndrome (IRS)

The inherited factors that lead to the genetic susceptibility to diabetic renal disease are uncertain. A clear candidate is hypertension, which is known to be inherited. Parental hypertension increases the risk of proteinuria in both type 1 [15,16] and type 2 [17] diabetes. These associations could be mediated by a genetic predisposition in the probands to have a higher blood pressure (even if initially it might remain within the normal range), which would contribute to the initiation and progression of renal disease. Alternatively, there could be common genetic factors that independently predispose to both hypertension and nephropathy, perhaps as part of the IRS. The finding of the EURODIAB study that the association of albuminuria with parental hypertension was not independent of hypertension in the offspring [16] suggests that the former mechanism may be more important.

Other features of the IRS also cluster with diabetic nephropathy. Diabetic nephropathy has been reported to be associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease in relatives in both type 1 [15] and type

Correspondence and offprint requests to: Dr Stephen Fava MD MRCP FACP, Diabetes Clinic, St Luke's Hospital, Guardamangia MSD07, Malta. Email: sdfava@orbit.net.mt

2 [17] diabetes. Parents of type 1 diabetic patients with nephropathy have also been shown to have increased mortality when compared to parents of normoalbuminuric diabetic patients [18]. Offspring of patients with diabetic nephropathy have an increased risk of diabetes [19] and there is an increased prevalence of microalbuminuria in non-diabetic offspring of diabetic patients with nephropathy [20]. Two small studies have failed to confirm that endothelial dysfunction [21] or insulin resistance [22] occur more frequently in the offspring of diabetic patients with nephropathy than in the offspring of diabetic patients without nephropathy.

Diabetic nephropathy and anthropometric parameters

One of the areas of the greatest advance recently has been work linking birth-weight and birth anthropometry to adult traits and disease. This work offers possible new insights into the predisposition to diabetic nephropathy. There is now a large body of evidence linking low birth-weight and a low ponderal index (thinness at birth) to manifestations of the IRS. These birth characteristics have been linked to increased blood pressure, abnormal glucose tolerance, cardiovascular disease, and hyperinsulinaemia [23–26]. The association of low birth-weight and/or a low ponderal index to the IRS has now been demonstrated in many populations.

The above observations have led to the ‘thrifty phenotype’ hypothesis. According to this hypothesis, intra-uterine malnutrition programmes the fetus to become insulin resistant. Such programming to a thrifty phenotype can be seen as adaptive as it enhances survival in circumstances of malnutrition. However, it becomes maladaptive if the individual is exposed to unrestricted food in his postnatal life.

Diabetic nephropathy has been associated with insulin resistance [27]. In view of the association of insulin resistance with both low birth-weight and with diabetic nephropathy, it was interesting to see whether birth characteristics are also associated with diabetic nephropathy. Rossing *et al.* [28] have demonstrated that such an association exists. Furthermore, short stature has been reported to be associated with an increased risk of nephropathy in both type 1 [29] and type 2 diabetes [30] as well as with microalbuminuria in non-diabetic patients [31]. As birth-weight and adult height are strongly correlated [32], height could be acting as a surrogate marker of birth-weight. It would therefore be plausible to postulate that the associations of fetal and adult anthropometry with diabetic nephropathy can be explained by a predisposition to insulin resistance. Intra-uterine growth retardation may also predispose to renal disease by resulting in a permanent nephron deficit [33].

However, some observations are not easily explained by the fetal malnutrition hypothesis.

Twins have much lower birth-weights and experience greater degrees of intra-uterine malnutrition than most of those born of singleton pregnancies but Christensen *et al.* [34] have failed to detect any difference between mortality in twins and that in the general population (except for females aged 60–89 years). In addition, Williams and Poulton [35] have found that twins have a lower blood pressure at 9 and 18 years of age when compared to singletons. Subjects who were exposed to malnutrition *in utero* during the siege of Leningrad do not have higher albumin excretion rates [36].

There are other possible explanations of the observed associations between birth characteristics and features of the IRS apart from fetal malnutrition. Genetic factors also have a role in determining birth characteristics: fetal birth-weight has been shown to be positively correlated with maternal birth-weight [37] as well as with maternal height and weight at the beginning of pregnancy [38]; and both paternal birth-weight and paternal height are correlated with fetal birth-weight [39]. Maternal blood pressure during pregnancy is negatively correlated with the birth-weight of the child and positively correlated with blood pressure in the children [40], suggesting an alternative explanation of the association between low birth-weight and blood pressure to the fetal malnutrition hypothesis. Placental ratio (placental weight/birth-weight) is positively correlated with maternal body mass index but independent of parameters of maternal nutrition such as haemoglobin concentration or mean cell volume [41]. It is therefore possible that common genetic factors predispose to both low birth-weight and low ponderal index as well as features of the IRS, including diabetic nephropathy. An alternative genetic explanation to the ‘fetal malnutrition hypothesis’ is ‘the fetal insulin hypothesis’ [42] which proposes that a genetic predisposition to insulin resistance in the fetus results in reduced insulin-mediated growth *in utero* and hence lower birth-weight, as well as predisposing to insulin resistance after birth. It is likely that both the intra-uterine environment and genetic predisposition play an important role.

Is diabetic nephropathy inherited separately from diabetes?

A number of observations suggest that genes that predispose to diabetic nephropathy also predispose to type 2 diabetes. McCance *et al.* [19] have found that subjects had a greater risk of diabetes if their type 2 diabetic parents had established nephropathy, compared to those whose parents had diabetes without nephropathy. A parental history of type 2 diabetes is associated with increased risk of proteinuria in type 1 diabetic patients [43]. On the other hand, non-diabetic offspring of diabetic patients with nephropathy have a higher albumin excretion rate compared to non-diabetic offspring of diabetic patients without

nephropathy [21]. Furthermore, Freedman *et al.* [44] have reported that African Americans with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) secondary to type 2 diabetes have a relative with ESRD more commonly than do type 2 diabetic patients without nephropathy. Non-diabetic renal disease also exhibits familial aggregation [45].

One way to reconcile these observations is to hypothesize that a number of genes predispose to diabetic nephropathy and to renal disease. Some, but not all, of these genes might predispose to both the renal disease and to diabetes and other manifestations of the IRS.

Conclusion

Evidence of familial aggregation alone is not sufficient to prove genetic influences, as family members share many environmental factors as well as genetic ones. However, the consistency of the findings of familial aggregation of diabetic nephropathy and of its co-aggregation with other features of the IRS, particularly hypertension, makes a genetic component likely. The ultimate proof lies in identifying the genes responsible. This can be done either by positional cloning based on linkage analysis or by the candidate gene approach. The majority of studies to date have studied candidate genes and there are no genes where there is definitive evidence of a role in the susceptibility to diabetic nephropathy.

It is probable that a number of genes as well as environmental factors predispose to diabetic renal disease (multifactorial inheritance). From the aggregation studies outlined above, we can deduce that genes that predispose to high blood pressure, type 2 diabetes, and other manifestations of the IRS might be involved. Given our understanding of the pathogenesis of diabetic nephropathy, other candidate genes could include ones that effect intra-glomerular pressure (independently of arterial blood pressure), glomerular permeability, basement membrane thickening, and matrix expansion.

The contribution of a single gene to the development of diabetic nephropathy might be small, but diabetic nephropathy would develop in those with a combination of a number of 'bad genes' and of environmental factors (dosage effect). Environmental factors include glycaemic control, blood pressure control, and possibly intra-uterine malnutrition. If the contribution of a single gene were small, it would need large studies to be detected and replicated. The role of a number of candidate genes has mostly been explored in small case-control studies, which also have the added disadvantage of survival bias. This might explain why little progress has been made in the identifying genes responsible for diabetic nephropathy. We feel that large prospective studies, possibly investigating a number of genes, are required.

References

1. Brancati, FL, Whelton PK, Randall BL *et al.* Risk of end-stage renal disease—a prospective cohort study of men screened for MRFIT. *J Am Med Assoc* 1997; 278: 2069–2074
2. Borch-Johnsen K, Andersen PK, Deckert T. The effect of proteinuria on relative mortality in type I (insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia* 1985; 28: 590–596
3. Nelson RG, Pettitt DJ, Carraher MJ *et al.* Effect of proteinuria on mortality in NIDDM. *Diabetes* 1988; 37: 1499–1504
4. Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 1993; 329: 977–986
5. Forsblom CM, Groop PH, Ekstrand A *et al.* Predictors of progression from normoalbuminuria to microalbuminuria in NIDDM. *Diabetes Care* 1998; 21: 1932–1938
6. Stephenson J, Fuller JH, EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study Group. Microvascular and acute complications in IDDM Complications Study. *Diabetologia* 1994; 37: 278–285
7. Seaquist ER, Goetz FC, Rich S, Barbosa J. Familial clustering of diabetic kidney disease. Evidence of genetic susceptibility to diabetic nephropathy. *N Engl J Med* 1989; 320: 1161–1165
8. Borch-Johnsen K, Norgaard K, Hommel E *et al.* Is diabetic nephropathy an inherited complication? *Kidney Int* 1992; 41: 719–722
9. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. Clustering of long-term complications in families with diabetes in the diabetes control and complications trial. *Diabetes* 1997; 46: 1829–1839
10. Pettitt DJ, Saad MF, Bennett PH *et al.* Familial predisposition to renal disease in two generations of Pima Indians with type 2 (non-insulin dependent) diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia* 1990; 33: 438–443
11. Fava S, Azzopardi J, Hattersley A, Watkins P. Increased prevalence of proteinuria in diabetic sibs of proteinuric type 2 diabetic subjects. *Am J Kidney Dis* 2000; 35: 708–712
12. Faronato PP, Maioli M, Tonolo G *et al.* (Italian NIDDM Nephropathy Study Group). Clustering of albumin excretion rate abnormalities in Caucasian patients with NIDDM. *Diabetologia* 1997; 40: 816–823
13. Canani LH, Gerchman F, Gross JL. Familial clustering of diabetic nephropathy in Brazilian type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes* 1999; 48: 909–913
14. Vijay V, Snehalatha C, Shina K *et al.* Familial aggregation of diabetic kidney disease in type 2 diabetes in south India. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 1999; 43: 167–171
15. Rudberg S, Stattin EL, Dahlquist G. Familial and perinatal risk factors for micro- and macroalbuminuria in young IDDM patients. *Diabetes* 1998; 47: 1121–1126
16. Roglic G, Colhoun HM, Stevens LK *et al.*, EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study Group. Parental history of hypertension and parental history of diabetes and microvascular complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus: EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study. *Diabetes Med* 1998; 15: 418–426
17. Canini LH, Gerchman F, Gross JL. Increased familial history of arterial hypertension, coronary heart disease, and renal disease in Brazilian type 2 diabetic patients with diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetes Care* 1998; 21: 1545–1550
18. Tarnow L, Rossing P, Nielson FS *et al.* Cardiovascular morbidity and early mortality cluster in parents of type 1 diabetic patients with diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetes Care* 2000; 23: 30–33
19. McCance DR, Hanson RL, Pettitt DJ *et al.* Diabetic nephropathy: a risk factor for diabetes mellitus in offspring. *Diabetologia* 1995; 38: 221–226
20. Gruden G, Cavallo-Parin P, Olivetti C *et al.* Albumin excretion rate levels in non-diabetic offspring of NIDDM patients with and without nephropathy. *Diabetologia* 1995; 38: 1218–1222
21. McAllister AS, Atkinson AB, Johnston GD, McCance DR. Endothelial dysfunction in offspring of type 1 diabetics with and without diabetic nephropathy. *Diabetes Med* 1999; 16: 298–303
22. Vijay V, Snehalatha C, Terin M, Ramachandran A. Does the presence of diabetic nephropathy in parents influence the

- metabolic response in the offspring? *Diabetes Med* 1997; 14: 854–857
23. Barker DJP, Bull AR, Osmond C, Simmonds SJ. Fetal and placental size and risk of hypertension in adult life. *Br Med J* 1990; 301: 259–262
 24. Holland FJ, Stark O, Ades AE, Peckham CS. Birth weight and body mass index in childhood, adolescence and adulthood as predictors of blood pressure at age 36. *J Epidemiol Comm Health* 1993; 47: 432–435
 25. Barker DJP, Winter PD, Osmond C *et al.* Weight in infancy and death from ischaemic heart disease. *Lancet* 1989; 2: 577–580
 26. Phillips DIW, Barker DIP, Hales CN *et al.* Thinness at birth and insulin resistance in adult life. *Diabetologia* 1994; 37: 150–154
 27. Yip J, Mattock MB, Morocutti A *et al.* Insulin resistance in insulin dependent diabetic patients with microalbuminuria. *Lancet* 1993; 342: 883–887
 28. Rossing P, Tarnow L, Nielson FS *et al.* Low birth weight. A risk factor for development of diabetic nephropathy? *Diabetes* 1995; 44: 1405–1407
 29. Rossing P, Tarnow L, Nielson FS *et al.* Short stature and diabetic nephropathy. *Br Med J* 1995; 310: 296–297
 30. Fava S, Azzopardi J, Watkins PJ, Hattersley AT. Adult height and proteinuria in type 2 diabetes. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 2001; 16: 525–528
 31. Gould MM, Mohamed-Ali V, Goubet SA *et al.* Microalbuminuria: associations with height and sex in non-diabetic subjects. *Br Med J* 1993; 306: 240–242
 32. Kuh D, Wadsworth M. Parental height: childhood environment and subsequent adult height in a national birth cohort. *Int J Epidemiol* 1989; 18: 663–668
 33. Hinchliffe SA, Lynch MR, Sargent PH *et al.* The effect of intrauterine growth retardation on the development of renal nephrons. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1992; 99: 296–301
 34. Christensen K, Vaupel JW, Holm NV, Yashin AI. Mortality among twins after age 6: fetal origins hypothesis versus twin method. *Br Med J* 1995; 310: 432–436
 35. Williams S, Poulton R. Twins and maternal smoking: ordeals for the fetal origins hypothesis? A cohort study. *Br Med J* 1999; 318: 897–900
 36. Yudkin JS, Phillips DIW, Stanner S. Proteinuria and progressive renal disease: birth weight and microalbuminuria. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 1997; 12 [Suppl 2]: 10–13
 37. Tavares M, Rodrigues T, Cardoso FD *et al.* Independent effect of maternal birth weight on infant birth weight. *J Perinatal Med* 1996; 24: 391–396
 38. Wikstrom I, Axelsson O, Bergstrom R. Maternal factors associated with high birth weight. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand* 1991; 70: 55–61
 39. Godfrey KM, Barker DJ, Robinson S, Osmond C. Maternal birthweight and diet in pregnancy in relation to the infant's thinness at birth. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1997; 104 (6): 663–667
 40. Himmelmann A, Svensson A, Hansson L. Relation of maternal blood pressure during pregnancy to birth weight and blood pressure in children. The Hypertension in Pregnancy Offspring Study. *J Intern Med* 1994; 235: 347–352
 41. Perry IJ, Beavers DG, Whincup PH, Bareford D. Predictors of placental ratio to fetal weight in multiethnic community. *Br Med J* 1995; 310: 436–439
 42. Hattersley AT, Tooke JE. The fetal insulin hypothesis; an alternative explanation of the association of low birth weight with diabetes and vascular disease. *Lancet* 1999; 22: 1789–1792
 43. Fagerudd JA, Petterson-Fernholm KJ, Gronhagen-Riska C, Groop PH. The impact of a family history of type II (non-insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus on the risk of diabetic nephropathy in patients with type I (insulin-dependent) diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia* 1999; 42: 519–522
 44. Freedman BI, Tuttle AB, Spray BJ. Familial predisposition to nephropathy in African-Americans with non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus. *Am J Kidney Dis* 1995; 25: 710–713
 45. Lei HH, Perneger TV, Klag MJ *et al.* Familial aggregation of renal disease in a population-based case-control study. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 1998; 9: 1270–1276